



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/846,426	04/30/2001	Qiang Bi	23182 .	4608
7590 07/12/2005			EXAMINER	
FELLERS, SNIDER, BLANKENSHIP, BAILEY & TIPPENS			WONG, KIN C	
BANK ONE TO		0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 NORTH BROADWAY, SUITE 1700 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102-8820		2651	THE DICTIONAL DEC	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ť	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/846,426	BI ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	K. Wong	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follon places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:  a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	offidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverser, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be seen filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS							
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Abotom man All I A CETT						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants asserted that the corrections are done concurrently, during servo writing in the servowriter and the drive correction system or no discontinuity correction betweeen servowriter and self servo writing. However such language is not positively recited in the claims. Furthermore, the assertions raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or further seach which were not presented earlier.

De-

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600